HAM-CHRISTIANITY AND THE BRIDE

It has been over two years since a new writing has been posted. In this time I made a trip to where I was raised and made a <u>video</u> (warning, it's two hours), and then in October, 2013, I began pursuing a home, which I got, and spent the time since then completely remodeling it and moving in (and even then there was still much to do). You can read about the home at <u>Building the House</u>.

I have always had a policy that I write what I see, what Yahweh gives me. I do not write just to write. So what you are about to read here is because some most amazing truth unfolded over three recent days. May Yahweh be glorified, and I am grateful for what came to our sight. But, be assured, you will have to read this twice to truly understand it. There is a LOT here, and it will be new to you. We will begin with some amazing truth, which is added to what we have known for several years.

First, from <u>*The Issue – II*</u>, page 5</u>, we read:

It is very important to note that this breach period of the church is appropriately named by the people of Antioch; and not only is it named by them, but Antioch also became the motherland of this breach work called Christianity (Acts 11:25-26). Quite significantly, while the first Remnant was "birthed" out of Jerusalem (foreshadowing a Remnant that will be birthed out of Jerusalem above), in contrast breach Christianity was "birthed" out of Antioch. Antioch became the base for Paul's ministry, and numerous early church councils centered there. And while the first Remnant was called "the way," in contrast the breach period received the Antioch name - Christianity. What is the significance of these distinct Antioch breach Christian beginnings? Antioch was founded by the family of Antiochus, and it was Antiochus IV who carried out the three year abomination of desolation spoken of in Daniel 11. It is this desolation that is prophetic of the desolation of the church by Antiochus Satan, equally for three periods of time, or 3,000 years. This abomination of desolation that Christians foresee as a future event, has been ongoing for 2,000 years in Antiochus Christianity, testified to by both the origin of Christianity's name and its founding ministry location - Antioch.

And with this, let us now look at what the Father opened our eyes to see, which led to even more amazing truth. In Acts 13:1 we read: "Now there were at Antioch, in the church that was *there*, prophets and teachers: Barnabas, and Simeon who was called Niger (*or*, "*black*"), and Lucius of Cyrene, and Manaen who had been brought up with Herod the tetrarch, and Saul." So, we see that there were five men mentioned who were the prophets and teachers at Antioch at that time. What more is there to know about these men? From Acts 11:19-26 we begin reading in verse 20: "But there were some of them (*who left Jerusalem when Stephen was stoned*), men of Cyprus and Cyrene, who came to Antioch and *began* speaking to the Greeks also, preaching the Lord Jesus." So with this, we see that men from Cyprus and Cyrene founded the church at Antioch, which in time Paul made his home church.

Who were these men? Of the five, from Cyrene we know they were "Simeon who was called Niger, and Lucius of Cyrene." Why can we add Simeon? First, this Simeon was undoubtedly the same man spoken of in Mark 15:21: "They pressed into service a passer-by coming from the country, Simon of Cyrene (the father of Alexander and Rufus), to bear His (*Yahshua's*) cross." The name "Simeon," comes from the Greek root word "Simon." Many hold that this Simeon is the one who bore Yahshua's cross. In Romans 16:13, Paul writes in his letter: "Greet Rufus, a choice man in the Lord, also his mother and mine." This Rufus was undoubtedly Simon's son, whom Paul would have fondly known there in Antioch, as well as his mother. It is suspected that by then Simon/Simeon had been martyred or died, and the son and his mother were now in Rome.

And as well, this Lucius in Antioch was from Cyrene, in Africa; and it is quite possible that Simeon and Lucius knew each other from that common location. In fact, it is quite plausible that these two black men, along with another from Cyprus, were integral in founding the church at Antioch. And as we know with certainty, it was later that Barnabas, in Jerusalem at the time, went to get Saul/Paul and brought him to Antioch. In Acts 11:25-26 we read: "And he (*Barnabas*) left for Tarsus to look for Saul; and when he had found him, he brought him to Antioch. And for an entire year they met with the church and taught considerable numbers; and the disciples were first called Christians in Antioch." Additionally, we know that Barnabas was indeed from Cyprus (Acts 4:36), but here in Acts 11:22 we read: "The news about them reached the ears of the church at Jerusalem, and they sent Barnabas off to Antioch." So, Barnabas did not go to Antioch until after the church was founded. The only person left of the five is Manaen, who we know nothing about. But, by elimination he had to have been the one from Cyprus.

So, when this list of five was set forth in Acts 13:1, these two—Simeon/Simon and Lucius—and a third from Cyprus—apparently Manaen—were the key leaders, and even founders, of the church at Antioch. And furthermore, as you will see, we find evidenced here something profoundly significant prophetically in that two of these key founders of the church at Antioch were black men. Why is this so important and revealing? We will see why, but first, this question opens the way for yet another important aspect of this revelation that came in those three days. So, hold this point about these two black men. We will get back to it, but we must now examine another critical and revealing part of this vital understanding.

In the book, *The Curse of 1920*, Chapter Eleven, we find that when Ham uncovered the nakedness of his father, Noah, it resulted in a curse—particularly on Ham's son Canaan, but undoubtedly on all of the sons. And we also see there that Africa was populated by Ham's offspring. The son Mizraim was the original name given for Egypt. The name of the son Cush means "black." Also, the ancient Egyptians referred to their land as "Kemet," which means "land of the blacks. Ham was clearly black. Psalms 105:23, 27 and 106:22 specifically state that Egypt, the "land of the blacks," is "the land of Ham." There is also considerable historical evidence that the ancient Egyptians were of black origin. Thus, when Ham uncovered the nakedness of his father, the curse obviously affected more that just Canaan. If you will read the referenced chapter on the black man, you will see that Africa, even the black man from Africa, is indeed cursed. In fact,

as noted on page 34, we see that the name Africa means "snake kingdom." And as noted on page 209, on the pharaoh's forehead was indeed the cursed snake.

And before we look at how this relates to today, and even to the Bride, let us examine yet some more vital and truly incredible evidence. In Genesis 9:20-23 we read: "Then Noah began farming and planted a vineyard. He drank of the wine and became drunk, and uncovered himself inside his tent. Ham, the father of Canaan, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brothers outside. But Shem and Japheth took a garment and laid it upon both their shoulders and walked backward and covered the nakedness of their father; and their faces were turned away, so that they did not see their father's nakedness." What can this mean? Is there more to this than just seeing Noah unclothed? Yes, FAR more! In Leviticus 18:8 we read: "You shall not uncover the nakedness of your father's wife; it is **your father's nakedness**." And even clearer, in Leviticus 20:11 we read: "If *there is* a man who lies with his father's wife, he has **uncovered his father's nakedness**; both of them shall surely be put to death, their bloodguiltiness is upon them."

So do you see what Ham actually did? He went into the tent, found his father and mother drunk and possibly naked, and he had sexual relations with his mother. As it clearly states in Leviticus, if a man lies with his mother, he in fact uncovers his father's nakedness—the very charge brought against Ham. It was for this reason that the curse came upon Canaan, but obviously affected all of Ham's sons. And to this very day, promiscuity in Africa is a habitual problem, which led to the epidemic levels of AIDS. Again, Africa, the black man, is cursed, going all the way back to when Ham laid with his own mother. Shem and Japheth covered their father's nakedness, whereupon they entered the tent backwards and more than likely covered their mother.

And as a parallel witness to this, as noted in <u>*Coverings*</u>, Chapter Ten, page 199, just as Adam and Eve ate from the grape tree of the knowledge of good and evil, became naked, and were cursed; and Lot was made drunk on wine, became naked, had relations with his two daughters, and the offspring were cursed; so Noah and (more than likely) his wife became drunk on wine, became naked, Ham had relations with his mother, and his offspring were cursed. The evidence of this is profoundly clear.

So, what does this have to do with us today? And what effect does it have on the church? First, there were two who had to carry the cross—not just Yahshua, but as we have noted, also the subject Simon/Simeon of Cyrene, who was called Niger/black. What is this telling us? MOST clearly, we see that in this founder of the Antiochus Epiphanies church (the abomination of desolation) that birthed Christianity (they were first called Christians there), along with black Lucius of Cyrene, and through the founding apostle of Christianity, Saul/Paul, whose work was based from that church, the Body of Christ is equally cursed in the order of Ham! If you want to know what Christianity is, just look at its roots. Christianity is cursed Africa; and Satan, the serpent, has ruled over it for 2,000 years. (It is noteworthy that Christianity is the 2,000 swine entered into by Legion [which all go to the sea of death], and that the curse on Christianity is through none other than . . . Ham! His name is most fitting. And in like testimony, the desecration of the temple by Antiochus Epiphanes was the sprinkling of swine's blood in the temple.)

And as further evidence, what again did Ham do so as to receive this hugely dynamic and wholly impacting curse? He laid with his father's wife, with his mother. So, what do Christians do and have done for 2,000 years? They too lay with their Father's wife, their mother. In 1 Corinthians 5:1-6, we read:

It is actually reported that there is immorality among you, and immorality of such a kind as does not exist even among the Gentiles, that someone has his father's wife. You have become arrogant and have not mourned instead, so that the one who had done this deed would be removed from your midst. For I, on my part, though absent in body but present in spirit, have already judged him who has so committed this, as though I were present. In the name of our Lord Jesus, when you are assembled, and I with you in spirit, with the power of our Lord Jesus, *I have decided* to deliver such a one to Satan for the destruction of his flesh, so that his spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus. Your boasting is not good. Do you not know that a little leaven leavens the whole lump *of dough?*

Listen, when the founding apostle of Christianity judges this event, and it is recorded in the Scriptures, there is a very good chance that this was prophetic of Christianity whole, and in fact it is! Christianity bears the curse of Ham, evidenced naturally with Africa, but far more significantly it is fulfilled in Christianity. You ask, "How have they laid with their mother?" By laying with the world, our natural mother. We have nursed her breasts. And, Christians uncover our Father's nakedness by their wrongful relations with the world as a believer. We are told that God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son. But on the other hand, we are repeatedly warned to not love the world and not be of it or have relations with it. And if they do, they do not love the Father. "Do not love the world nor the things in the world. If anyone loves the world, the love of the Father is not in him" (1 John 2:15).

And what has been the consequence of this? Just as we see prophetically evidenced by the Corinthian man who laid with his mother, thereby uncovering his father's nakedness on the order of Ham, so Christianity has been delivered over to Satan for the destruction of their flesh, so that their spirit may be saved in the day of Yahshua. Here again, that which has been is that which will be, and that which has been done is that which will be done, for there is nothing new under the sun (Ecclesiastes 1:9). Therefore, Christianity has laid with the Father's wife, its mother, and has been delivered over to Satan as Paul so judged.

And as Paul also spoke, their salvation comes in the day of Yahshua, which is the time present. What is their salvation? First, it is through the Bride that comes out of the sleeping/dead Body of Christ, out of Christianity. Shem and Japheth, the two-part Remnant, will walk in backwards and cover the Father's nakedness. In fact with this writing, in one sense we might very well be doing such. And after the Bride has been taken up into heaven to be glorified, and returns with Immanuel, Satan will be bound, and Christianity/Christians will be truly saved. Amen.

Now, back to the question regarding these two black men, Simeon and Lucius, who were two of the three men who founded the church at Antioch. First, we have seen that the black man has been cursed because of Ham uncovering his father's nakedness—

laying with his own mother. We also saw that Africa was settled by Ham's descendants and is thereby cursed. And, from *The Curse of 1920*, and the testimony of Simon/Simeon of Cyrene, we know that Christianity is the higher fulfillment of this curse. The fact that two black men, Simeon and Lucius, were two of the three who founded the church at Antioch, and that its location identifies it with the abomination of desolation, which has been on the church ever since, and that that church was Paul's springboard to founding Christianity, all brought light to something that up to now has been a great mystery. This we will now examine.

The Bible speaks of the necessity for two witnesses: "on the evidence of two or three witnesses a matter shall be confirmed" (Deuteronomy 19:15). This truth is displayed in many instances in the Scriptures, and we see it evidenced here with Simeon and Lucius as well. What is their witness? That the church, Christianity, the name first set forth in that Antiochus church, is cursed in the order of Ham. Let me share more.

I do not draw conclusions unless there is sound evidence that something is true. If I don't know an answer, I say so, and wait until the truth is evidenced. And this was most certainly the case when on September 11, 2010, a faithful Bride brother suddenly and unexpectedly died. That was nine years to the day after the Twin Towers on Church Street, along with five other buildings, came down. And the other noteworthy factor was that this man was a black man.

There was speculation by some at that time as to what this might have meant, and certainly wonder filled the minds of others, including myself. But, I did not know what it might mean, and simply left it before the Father. I find that to be the best practice in any unknown matter. Admitting you don't know, . . . is always a good step towards knowing. And I have not known the answer for five years—until now. At least, there is sound evidence that we now have understanding. Let us consider this.

The black brother who unexpectedly died was most faithful. He was with us when we held all thing in common in 2003; and when we had various gatherings, he was always there. He was Mr. Faithful. And the fact is, he would have never left Bride truth. But, this was not the case with another Bride brother who is black as well. In fact, over the years when he was united with the Bride, like the apostle Peter he has denied me three times, pulling away three times. And he has been away this third time for well over a year.

So, over the last several years, there have been two black brothers who identified themselves with the Bride, and have regularly participated in Bride gatherings. Why? And why did one die, and the other deny/reject me three times? Evidently, and maybe undoubtedly, Yahweh placed them in the Bride to prophesy, to declare something concerning the Bride, just like He did 2,000 years ago. Certainly, the first brother would have never left the Bride, and he was taken (much like the first bird in Leviticus 14). The second brother is alive, but has denied me three times. So, what is Yahweh speaking?

The evidence seems to be clear. First, two black men in Antioch at the beginning of Christianity were witnesses to the fact that the curse of Ham was on, and would be on, that work. But today, that curse has to be removed from the Shem and Japheth Bride as

we cover the Father's nakedness. That is what the two black brothers testify today. They were removed from the Bride as the testimony that the curse of Ham is removed from the same. They are prophetic, governmental testimonies, just as were Simeon and Lucius. There were two testimonies of black men at the beginning of Christianity, and there are now two black men at the beginning of the Bride. The first testified to the curse abiding, and the second testify to the curse being removed.

This is indeed the hope that we have today—that Yahweh God is reversing the curse of Ham and performing through the Bride that which will find favor with Him. The Bride is not the Body, but comes out of the Body to be the promised and much needed Jerusalem above—the Bride of the Lamb: "And I saw the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God, made ready as a bride adorned for her husband ... the wife of the Lamb" (Revelation 21:2 & 9).

So, does this mean that any other black individual in the Bride will bear a like testimony? No, not at all. Yahweh uniquely used Simeon, Lucius, and the two men today to prophesy. Just over two years ago, a black brother from Nigeria contacted me and he is utterly thrilled with Bride truth and goes about actively teaching it, enduring rejection and tests. He is Bride, just like any other person. Yahweh used those two men in our beginnings to prophesy, and for some time they were the only two black men who gathered with the Bride. Revelation 7:9 tells us that the Bride will be "a great multitude which no one could count, from every nation and *all* tribes and peoples and tongues," and that includes all races.

I personally accept any black man or woman as Bride, just like anyone else. We are all one through our faith. And I trust and hope Yahweh will use our dear and steadfast brother in Nigeria to spread the truth of the Bride, and that we will gloriously see the curse of Ham removed from that continent, and that race, "in one day" (Zechariah 3:9).

But for now, we rejoice and take great hope in what we see here, and look to Yahweh to fulfill His word and His work regarding the kingdom of heaven—that the curse of Ham is reversed, that the Bride work will be fully established, that Yahshua will return to this earth with His Bride, and that Satan will be bound for a thousand years. Amen!

PS: In Romans 16:13, Paul wrote: "Greet Rufus, a choice man in the Lord, also his mother and mine." This is not just a letter, as it was originally intended, but rather regarded as the Word of God. So, when Paul writes under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, Rufus's "mother and mine," is he not declaring a statement regarding his identity with undoubtedly a black mother, making him legally black as well? We already know that Paul began his work out of cursed Antioch, cofounded by two black men. Now we see this same identity with his legal statement that Simon's wife was his mother. Again, this is governmental, despite his more general and obviously endearing intentions, and once again speaks of the curse of Ham on Christianity.

Also, in Paul's letter to the Galatians, chapter 4, he allegorically contrasts Abraham's two sons: "one by the bondwoman and one by the free woman." There was Hagar, the bondwoman, an Egyptian woman who was Sarah's handmaid who gave birth to Ishmael (who married an Egyptian woman—Genesis 21:20-21). Then there was Sarah,

the free woman, who miraculously brought forth the son of promise, Isaac. Obviously, Hagar was a black woman, just as we have seen testified to already.

In Galatians 4:25-26 we read further: "Now this Hagar is Mount Sinai in Arabia and corresponds to the present Jerusalem, for she is in slavery with her children. But the Jerusalem above is free; she is our mother." Now let us introduce a principle here. In Numbers 18:26 we read: "Moreover, you shall speak and say to the Levites, 'When you take from the people of Israel the tithe that I have given you from them for your inheritance, then you shall present a contribution from it to Yahweh, a tithe of the tithe.' "So, not only was there a tithe, but the same principle was repeated so that a tithe was taken out of the tithe for Yahweh—the tithe of the tithe. Likewise, in Ecclesiastes 1:9 we read: "That which has been is that which will be, and that which has been done is that which will be done. So there is nothing new under the sun."

Why do we note these truths here? Because Christianity thinks they are the fulfillment of God's promises stated in Galatians 4, and in one sense they are. But the fact is, their work was too early, or "before the time" (Matthew 8:29). Therefore, just as the Jews assumed that they were the fulfillment, and were not, so Christians make the same mistake today. In fact, Christians are the present-day Jews of Yahshua's time. So, who will fulfill the promise we find here, the offspring of the free woman? It will be the Bride, who comes out of the dead/sleeping Body of Christ. The Body of Christ has been and is to this day in slavery to sin and Satan. Thus, as noted here once again, it is cursed Egyptian Hagar, even as we have seen with the founders of the Antioch church. Without a doubt, Christianity is under the curse of Ham, and their fruits are not acceptable to the Father, any more than Ishmael was acceptable, and was passed over. In fact, as we read in Revelations 21:2 and 9, the Bride is the New Jerusalem, Immanuel's bride who will rule and reign with Him upon this earth: "And I saw the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God, made ready as a bride adorned for her husband . . . the wife of the Lamb."

Finally, Antioch is notorious for its severe and devastating earthquakes, which dramatically contributed to its ultimate and complete ruin. Today, that is what needs to take place in the church. There needs to be an earthquake that DRAMATICALLY shakes it—bringing down false teachings and worldly practices! This can only come with the latter rain and the Elijah. Antioch Christianity needs to be thoroughly shaken, to where one stone is not left upon another (Mark 13:2)! Furthermore, the Law's judgment for uncovering a father's nakedness is death (Leviticus 20:11). Such has been the fate of the church for 2,000 years (2,000 swine), and has to be cut short from three to two "days" (Matthew 24:22).

Amen. So let it be.